



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**  
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/14/73 367

12-9-98

Schroder

REF / SCH 29 644

EXAMINER: [Name]

ART UNIT: 16 PAPER NUMBER: 16

DATE MAILED: [Date]

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) G-S-KIS HORE (3) Ulf Schroder

(2) Joseph DeBenedictis (4) [Name]

Date of Interview: 2-21-02

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: claims on record v proposed claim

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The difference between insta emulsion and that in WO and Roberts were discussed. However, the reference applicants will introduce "consisting essentially of"; recite by the claims as product of process, introduce antigen or specific antigen in the claims, recite the percentages of the mono fatty fatty acid (proposed claims). To overcome the new matter rejection claims will recite name instead of percent. Since it is under final, a continuation might be filed and applicants response and amendments will be

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 1-98)

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD  
 Primary Examiner  
 Group 1600

carefully reviewed and the allowability determined after further search